Оценки антропогенных эмиссии СО₂ Санкт-Петербурга с помощью численного моделирования и дистанционных измерений

Timofeev Yu.¹, Nerobelov G.^{1,2,3}, Poberovskii A.¹

¹St Petersburg, St Petersburg State University, O3Lab, <u>akulishe95@mail.ru</u>

²St Petersburg, SPC RAS – Scientific Research Centre for Ecological Safety of the Russian Academy of Sciences

³Russian State Hydrometeorological University, LIMA lab

Two methods of CO, anthropogenic emission estimation

1. Inventorisation

- Using of CO₂ emission proxy information (amount of fossil fuel burned, location of power plants and industries, night city lights, etc.)
- Errors can reach 50% [1,2,3]





Main methods of atmospheric observations (1 – satellite, 2 – airplane, 3 – remote ground-based, 4- in-situ)

CO₂ emission estimation by inverse modelling (IM) of atmospheric transport



2. IM of atmospheric transport

Principle of **differential spectroscopic (DS)** approach in estimating of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions of St Petersburg

- 1. Estimation of city's contribution (Δc) to TCCO₂ using parallel measurements in background and polluted parts of St Petersburg
- 1. Modelling of Δc_{mod} by CO₂ atmospheric transport models and a priori CO₂ anthropogenic emissions

1. Correction of a priori CO_2 anthropogenic emissions by comparing Δc and Δc_{mod}



Emission Monitoring Mobile Experiment (EMME)

- **St Petersburg** large industrial Russian city with over 5.5 million population
- In 2019-2020 EMME measurement campaign was carried out in St Petersburg by SPbU, Voeikovo observatory, University of Bremen and Karlsruhe Institute of Technology [Makarova et al., 2021]
- Two mobile inter-calibrated IR Fourier-spectrometers Bruker
 EM27/SUN with systematic error of ~0.02% were used in the EMME
- The spectrometers were used to carry out parallel measurements of TCCO₂ and other gases in polluted and background locations of St Petersburg (see a scheme on the right)
- 11 days of planned measurements in March-April 2019
- The measurements were used to estimate St Petersburg anthropogenic emissions of CO₂



Spatial coverage of St Petersburg by measurements of EMME campaign in Mar-Apr 2019 (simplified assumption)



Spatial distribution of CO₂ anthropogenic emissions in St Petersburg by ODIAC 2019 inventory database [6] for Mar 2019 and simple trajectories of air mass transport during EMME measurements

Methods used for St Petersburg anthropogenic emission estimation

N	Research	Atmospheric transport model	A priori data	Measurements
1	[Timofeev et al., 2020]	1D box model	ODIAC 2018	EMME 2019
2	[Timofeev et al., 2022]	1D box model/ STILT dispersion model	ODIAC 2018 ODIAC 2019	
3	[lonov et al., 2021]	HYSPLIT - dispersion model	ODIAC 2018	-
4	In progress	WRF-Chem - 3D numerical model of weather forecast and tropospheric composition	ODIAC 2019	

Estimates of St Petersburg CO₂ anthropogenic emissions



Conclusions

- 1. The main factors influencing accuracy of integral CO₂ anthropogenic emissions of St Petersburg are the following
- spatial inhomogeneity of CO₂ sources on the territory of the city
- errors in a priori CO₂ emissions
- complexity of atmospheric transport models
- 1. The full available range of CO2 anthropogenic emissions of St Petersburg is 30-100 Mt CO₂
- 1. Using different a priori information and atmospheric transport models leads to the variations of emission estimates up to 50%
- 1. The estimates of St Petersburg anthropogenic CO2 emissions for 2019 according to inverse modelling are in a range ~50-100 Mt per 2019.
- The most reliable estimates of St Petersburg anthropogenic CO₂ emission for 2019 according to our investigations is 52±8 Mt per year.
- Emissions of such large cities as New-York, London, Toronto according to independent estimates constitute 92, 32, 16 Mt per 2019 respectively.

References

- Bergamaschi, P.; Corazza, M.; Karstens, U.; Athanassiadou, M.; Thompson, R.L.; Pison, I.; Manning, A.J.; Bousquet, P.; Segers, A.; Vermeulen, A.T.; et al. Top-down estimates of European CH4 and N2O emissions based on four different inverse models. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2015, 15, 715–736, doi:10.5194/acp-15-715-2015.
- Locatelli, R.; Bousquet, P.; Chevallier, F.; Fortems-Cheney, A.; Szopa, S.; Saunois, M.; Agusti-Panareda, A.; Bergmann, D.; Bian, H.; Cameron-Smith, P.; et al. Impact of transport model errors on the global and regional methane emissions estimated by inverse modelling. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2013, 13, 9917–9937, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9917-2013.
- 3. Basu, S., Baker, D. F., Chevallier, F., Patra, P. K., Liu, J., and Miller, J. B.: The impact of transport model differences on CO2 surface flux estimates from OCO-2 retrievals of column average CO2, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 7189–7215, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-7189-2018, 2018.
- Makarova, M. V., Alberti, C., Ionov, D. V., Hase, F., Foka, S. C., Blumenstock, T., Warneke, T., Virolainen, Y., Kostsov, V., Frey, M., Poberovskii, A. V., Timofeyev, Y. M., Paramonova, N., Volkova, K. A., Zaitsev, N. A., Biryukov, E. Y., Osipov, S. I., Makarov, B. K., Polyakov, A. V., Ivakhov, V. M., Imhasin, H. Kh., and Mikhailov, E. F.: Emission Monitoring Mobile Experiment (EMME): an overview and first results of the St. Petersburg megacity campaign-2019, Atmos. Meas. Tech. 14, 1047–1073, 2021 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-1047-2021
- 5. Dietrich, F., Chen, J., Voggenreiter, B., Aigner, P., Nachtigall, N., and Reger, B.: MUCCnet: Munich Urban Carbon Column network, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 14, 1111–1126, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-1111-2021, 2021.
- 6. T. Oda and S. Maksyutov, "A very high-resolution(1 km × 1 km) global fossil fuel CO2 emission inventoryderived using a point source database and satellite ob-servations of nighttime lights," Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11,543–556 (2011). https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-543-2011
- 7. Timofeyev, Y.M., Nerobelov, G.M., Virolainen, Y.A. et al. Estimates of CO2 Anthropogenic Emission from the Megacity St. Petersburg. Dokl. Earth Sc. 494, 753–756 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1134/S1028334X20090184
- 8. Timofeyev, Y.M., Nerobelov, G.M. & Poberovskii, A.V. Experimental Estimates of Integral Anthropogenic CO2 Emissions in the City of St. Petersburg. Izv. Atmos. Ocean. Phys. 58, 237–245 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001433822030100
- 9. Dmitry V. Ionov, Maria V. Makarova, Frank Hase, Stefani C. Foka, Vladimir S. Kostsov, Carlos Alberti, Thomas Blumenstock, Thorsten Warneke, Yana A. Virolainen. The CO2 integral emission by the megacity of St. Petersburg as quantified from ground-based FTIR measurements combined with dispersion modelling. Atmos. Meas. Tech.
- 10. Serebritsky I.A. (Ed.): The Report on Environmental Conditions in St. Petersburg for 2017, available at: https://www.gov.spb.ru/static/writable/ckeditor/uploads/2018/06/29/Doklad_EKOLOGIA2018.pdf
- 11. Oda, T., Bun, R., Kinakh, V. et al. Errors and uncertainties in a gridded carbon dioxide emissions inventory. Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change 24, 1007–1050 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-019-09877-2

Acknowledgements

The study was performed at the 'Laboratory for the Research of the Ozone Layer and the Upper Atmosphere' of Saint Petersburg State University and was funded by the Government of the Russian Federation under agreement [075-15-2021-583].

We would like to express our gratitude to EMME campaign measurement team and in particular to Makarova Maria (SPbU) who was a principal investigator of the project. In addition we would like to thank Carlos Alberti, Thomas Blumenstock and Frank Hase (Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany) for providing pair of IR Fourier-spectrometer Bruker EM27/SUN and their help in XCO₂ observations.

Thank you for your attention!